

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 275 573

SO 017 375

TITLE Nuclear Age Education: A Report to the Legislature, as Required by Assembly Bill 3848 (1984).
INSTITUTION California State Dept. of Education, Sacramento.
PUB DATE 86
NOTE 33p.; Supporting bibliography of over 120 references.
PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Administrator Attitudes; Civil Defense; *Curriculum Development; *Curriculum Evaluation; Elementary Secondary Education; *Nuclear Technology; *Nuclear Warfare; Parent Attitudes; School Attitudes; *Student Attitudes; *World Problems
IDENTIFIERS *California State Department of Education

ABSTRACT

As required by Assembly Bill 3848, the California State Department of Education has produced this report to help the Legislature determine whether it should promote a program to make nuclear age education more widely available in the public schools and, if so, what type of program would achieve the most desirable results. In preparing the report, the department used data solicited from county offices of education and school districts, community organizations, other state departments of education, and students. It also reviewed current literature on nuclear age education. Recommendations were made in two broad areas. First, the Legislature should provide resources for the State Department of Education to: (1) develop in-service activities for teachers, administrators, and parents; (2) increase the coverage of nuclear age topics in teachers' and students' publications; and (3) work with community groups to ensure a balanced, unbiased approach to nuclear age education. Second, the Legislature should encourage school districts to: (1) provide release time and opportunities for in-service training; (2) incorporate nuclear age instruction in all appropriate subject areas; and (3) involve parent and community groups to ensure a balanced, unbiased approach. The appendixes include Assembly Bill Number 3848, survey materials, and a nine-page listing of books, studies, periodicals, and curriculum guides and other resources. (BZ)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

ED275573

Nuclear Age Education

A Report to the Legislature,
As Required by Assembly Bill 3848 (1984)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- ☒ This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization
originating it.
- ☐ Minor changes have been made to improve
reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-
ment do not necessarily represent official
OERI position or policy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Diane Brooks

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

CALIFORNIA STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Bill Honig, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Sacramento, 1986

50017375

Nuclear Age Education

**Prepared by the
Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Division**

This legislative report, which was prepared by the Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Division, California State Department of Education, was published by the Department, 721 Capitol Mall, Sacramento, California (mailing address: P.O. Box 944272, Sacramento, CA 94244-2720). Any questions regarding the report should be addressed to the History-Social Science Unit, Office of Humanities Curriculum Services; telephone 916-323-0887. The report was distributed under the provisions of the Library Distribution Act and *Government Code* Section 11096.

1986

Table of Contents

Executive Summary of the Report on Nuclear Age Education.....	1
Recommendations on Nuclear Age Education.....	2
Report on Nuclear Age Education.....	3
Methodology Used by the Department.....	3
Questions Asked and Responses:	
What is the status of nuclear age education in California?.....	4
Should the Legislature make nuclear age education more widely available in California's public schools?.....	4
What is the best method to help make nuclear age education available in California's public schools?.....	6
A Review of the Literature.....	6
Appendixes:	
A. Assembly Bill Number 3848.....	9
B. Nuclear Age Education Survey to Counties/Districts.....	11
C. Nuclear Age Education Survey to Organizations.....	14
D. Student Questionnaire, AB 3848.....	17
E. Letter Requesting Parental Permission to Participate in Student Questionnaire.....	19
F. Bibliography and Listing of Related Materials.....	20

Executive Summary of the Report on Nuclear Age Education

As required by Assembly Bill 3848, the State Department of Education has produced this report to help the Legislature determine whether it should promote a program to make nuclear age education more widely available in the public schools and, if so, what type of program would achieve the most desirable results.

In preparing the report, the Department solicited data from county offices of education and school districts, community organizations, other state departments of education, and students. It also reviewed current literature on nuclear age education.

Indications are that:

- o Little instruction about nuclear issues currently takes place in the schools of California.
- o School districts and organizations representing concerned parents, teachers, and members of the community indicate strong support for including nuclear age education in the schools of California.
- o A sampling of student opinion in California schools corroborates findings in recent literature that nuclear issues are of concern to today's youth and have an impact on their mental health.
- o A sound rationale for including nuclear age education in the curriculum of California schools involves the classic mission of the public schools in a democracy: to develop citizens capable of making informed and independent choices on the vital issues of the day.

Recommendations on Nuclear Age Education

The Department believes that the following recommendations constitute the best method to help make nuclear age education available in California's public schools. The recommendations are based on the data secured from statewide surveys and a review of the literature on nuclear age education, which are included in the body of the report.

The Legislature should provide resources for the State Department of Education to:

- A. Develop a series of in-service education activities for teachers, administrators, and parents to:
 1. Increase personal awareness of attitudes commonly reflected in the student survey and appropriate responses to such attitudes.
 2. Build skills in teaching critical thinking and conflict resolution and in allowing for increasingly sophisticated analytical approaches to the nuclear age issues.
 3. Provide access to age-appropriate resources, curricula, lesson plans, and alternative approaches to incorporating nuclear age education in existing curricula.
- B. Continue to include and to increase the coverage of nuclear age topics in publications--such as frameworks, model curriculum standards, model curriculum guidelines, and handbooks--in all appropriate subject areas; for example, history, social science, science, literature, expository writing, the arts, and mathematics.
- C. Work with all concerned community, civic, and public-interest groups to ensure a balanced, unbiased process for making nuclear age education part of the curriculum, which will result in a better informed, responsible, and responsive parent-teacher-student cooperative effort.

The Legislature should encourage school districts to:

- A. Provide release time and appropriate opportunities for teachers and administrators to receive in-service training in nuclear age education, as described above.
- B. Incorporate nuclear age instruction in all subject areas already in the curriculum, as appropriate, including history, social science, science, literature, expository writing, the arts, and mathematics. Instruction should be integrated in appropriate topics, and the content should be adjusted to fit the maturity of the students. Such age-appropriate instruction should include critical thinking in the nuclear age, conflict resolution, and increasingly sophisticated understanding of the decision-making processes surrounding nuclear age issues.
- C. Involve parent, community, and public-interest groups in both in-service training and curriculum development to ensure a balanced, unbiased approach at the local level to meet the needs of students and teachers, as expressed in this report.

Report on Nuclear Age Education

When the wartime effort to design and build the first nuclear bomb culminated in the successful test of a plutonium device in the deserts of New Mexico on July 16, 1945, human history entered a new epoch. Albert Einstein, perceiving the immense power of the atom at the world's disposal, observed that "everything has changed except our way of thinking."

Realizing that citizens of this state must be able to think effectively about issues of the nuclear age, the California State Legislature translated its concern into legislation in 1984. It passed Assembly Bill 3848 to determine the status and possible future of nuclear age education in the state.

Nuclear age education may be described as an effort to acquaint students with the essential facts about nuclear technology. This education includes not only the peaceful uses of nuclear energy but also the sobering policy choices resulting from its possible use for nonpeaceful purposes. Nuclear education seeks to cultivate citizens who can participate in an informed, independent, and meaningful way in the vital issues facing our democracy.

Topics in a nuclear age curriculum may include the nature and application of nuclear energy, including its use as a source of power for business and industry and its specialized use in such areas as medicine and food preservation. Instruction might provide a forum for discussing the benefits and dangers of using nuclear energy, as well as the personal, environmental, social, political, and military aspects of life in the nuclear age. The curriculum can provide students with an understanding of the causes of conflict and the options for conflict resolution and attainment of peace. Nuclear age education should help students develop into informed, self-assured, responsible, decision-making adults.

Methodology Used by the Department

Assembly Bill 3848 requires the State Department of Education "to collect information on current efforts of school districts to provide nuclear age education, and other information relevant to the development of a model nuclear age education curriculum and to report its findings and recommendations to the Legislature...." (See Appendix A for the full text of the law.)

To discharge this responsibility, the Department solicited views through a survey of 1,086 school districts and county offices of education in California (Appendix B); 160 organizations representing teachers, parents, community members, and state departments of education throughout the United States (Appendix C); and students in four eleventh and three sixth grade classrooms selected for their geographic distribution and diversity in student population (Appendix D). The Department also undertook a review of the relevant literature, including (1) a survey of clinical studies on the psychological impact of growing up in the nuclear age; and (2) a compilation of curriculum materials presently available on the topic (Appendix F).

Questions Asked and Responses

The Department used the data from its surveys of the organizations and individuals cited above and from current literature to answer three appropriate questions:

- o What is the current status of nuclear age education in California?
- o Should the Legislature make nuclear age education more widely available in California's public schools?
- o If so, what is the best method for achieving a favorable outcome?

What is the status of nuclear age education in California?

Results of the survey of county/district school offices indicate that little instruction aimed at helping students understand nuclear age issues currently takes place in California. Of the 1,086 offices contacted, 575 responded to the Department's questionnaire. Of these, only 14 indicated that they have in place a policy on nuclear age education. Of 72 districts reporting some kind of coverage of nuclear issues in one or more classes, total instructional time on these topics was estimated at an average of 11 minutes per week. Although textbooks were reported as the most common materials used to support instruction in nuclear age education, districts indicated that they also used resources provided by such organizations as Educators for Social Responsibility, National Education Association, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, and the Stanford Program on International and Cross-Cultural Education (SPICE).

The relative lack of attention to nuclear age education in California is fairly typical of the situation nationwide. Thirty-nine state departments of education returned the Department's questionnaire. Their responses indicate that none of the responding states requires nuclear age education; 26 have no official position regarding such instruction.

Those states which take some action in this area of the curriculum have varying approaches. The Massachusetts Department of Education, for example, provides upon request a list of resources to be used in providing in-service activities for teachers and administrators. It also makes funds available to train personnel in programs such as those that assist secondary students with decision making in the nuclear age. Virginia has state basal textbooks with objectives in nuclear age education for each grade level. Pennsylvania provides materials in nuclear science for grades eleven and twelve. These materials feature factual information about both military and civilian applications of nuclear energy.

Should the Legislature Make Nuclear Age Education More Widely Available in California's Public Schools?

A large majority of county/district offices responding to the Department's questionnaire indicated that they support, in principle, the

concept of providing students with instruction dealing with life in the nuclear age. Eighty-seven percent (502 of the 575) of the respondents affirmed that public schools should teach nuclear age education topics; 72 percent (416 of 575) suggested that there should be a planned nuclear age education curriculum and instruction.

Organizations representing parents, teachers, and community members also favored nuclear age education. Of 160 organizations polled, 82 responded. Fifty-two of these indicated that schools should offer a planned nuclear age education curriculum.

A contrary opinion was expressed in a letter from the American Security Council Foundation. This letter asserts that (1) schools should concentrate on imparting the basic disciplines; (2) nuclear issues are "far too complex and technical" to be absorbed by the average student; and (3) the commonly used nuclear age curricula "all reflect a fundamentally anti-defense posture." The letter concludes: "We strongly urge the California legislature and the Department of Education not to adopt any formal curriculum in the name of 'nuclear education,' unless that program guarantees political and ideological impartiality in aims and structure, in materials used, and in teacher behavior and attitude."

The Department's sampling of California student attitudes and feelings regarding life in the nuclear age tended to corroborate the main findings in the literature reviewed in the next section: fear of nuclear war is a real concern of today's youth. The Department's questionnaire was sent in September, 1985, to students in four of the state's school districts. These districts were selected for their geographic distribution and for the diversity of their student populations. Three grade six and four grade eleven classrooms were asked to respond to two open-ended statements:

- o List any ideas that come to you when you hear the word "nuclear."
- o Discuss the responsibilities of our President, other countries, your school, your home, and yourself on nuclear issues.

Images most often elicited by the word "nuclear" were those of war and its effects. The dominant feeling tone in the essays of the eleventh grades, as classified by teams of independent readers, were apprehension (51), frustration (41), despair (30), fear (25), hopelessness (22), sadness (15), confidence (13), optimism (10), anger (8), and indifference (5). A sample of typical comments include:

- o "It's wrong. It's terribly wrong. I can't be more specific."
- o "We'd like to build a shelter, but we aren't made of that kind of money."
- o "One of these days, somebody's gonna push it too far and, WHAM!"

- o "I know that I and most of my friends are scared sick of what could happen."
- o "When people ask me what I wish for, world peace is what I say. No hesitation. No thought required."

What Is the Best Method to Help Make Nuclear Age Education Available in California's Public Schools?

Responses to the county/district office questionnaire make it perfectly clear what local educators do not want from the state in terms of nuclear age education: They do not want another required course. The most frequently volunteered comment on the questionnaire was that there are already too many curriculum mandates. In fact, use of the word "mandate" in one of the questions was enough to cause 62 percent of the respondents (333 of 537) to reject the idea of the Department's developing a model nuclear age curriculum, despite overwhelming support for nuclear age education.

The county/district responses indicated that nuclear age instruction should be appropriate to the age and maturity of the students. Thus, instruction in the primary grades should involve general awareness only, with increasing complexity at each appropriate grade level. At all grades, nuclear age content should be integrated into appropriate existing topics in such subjects as history-social science and science.

Seventy-seven percent of the county/district offices (443 of 575) emphasized the need for staff development activities to provide teachers and administrators with appropriate nuclear age education topics.

A Review of the Literature

The argument for nuclear age education grows out of recent psychosocial research concerning children's attitudes toward the prospect of nuclear war.

Based on a survey of high school students in Los Angeles, Boston, and Philadelphia from 1978 to 1980, undertaken by the American Psychiatric Association, Beardslee and Mack were the first to contend that a sizable portion of American youth were disturbed by fear of a nuclear war.

Goldenring and Doctor administered questionnaires to 923 California students in grades 7-12 in 1983. From a list of 20 "worry items," "nuclear war," ranking third, was preceded only by "death of parents" and "bad grades."

Van Hoorn and French found that 11 percent of a cross-section of 1,284 subjects (405 high school students, 721 college students, 158 individuals contacted by random phone survey) reported thinking about nuclear war on a daily basis; over a third did so at least once a week. The youngest subjects thought about the possibility of nuclear war most often; and the oldest, least often. These results are comparable to those of similar studies done in Sweden, Canada, and Finland.

Coles has suggested that fear of nuclear war is largely confined to children of liberal, affluent parents whose worries reflect their parents' point of view. But a broad-based survey of high school seniors by Bachman (monitoring over 16,000 pupils from 130 schools in 48 states each year for the last decade) showed a steady rise in the proportion of those who said they "often" worried about the nuclear threat. The increase was from 7 percent in 1976 to 31 percent in 1982. In 1984, Bachman's study indicated that nearly one in three students described himself or herself as "agreeing" or "mostly agreeing" with the statement: "Nuclear or biological annihilation will probably be the fate of all mankind within my lifetime."

On the other hand, Beardslee and Mack (and other psychiatrists) argue that anxiety about nuclear destruction contributes to a widespread "get it now" attitude among adolescents, a sense of futurelessness evident in the pathologies of drug abuse, escapism through religious cults, and an increasing suicide rate. "Building enduring values within an individual depends upon the delay of present satisfaction in favor of future goals and satisfactions," they wrote in their original paper. "But the formation of the psychic structure upon which such development depends is compromised in a setting in which the possibility of a future appears to have been destroyed by the adults to whom its preservation was ostensibly entrusted."

The evidence, however, is far from clear that fear of the bomb is causing widespread psychic fallout. Young people generally indicate that they have not changed their future plans based on the impact of nuclear

threat. Furthermore, several studies (Goldenring and Doctor, Van Hoorn and French, Solantaus et al) have shown that the adolescents most likely to say they worry about nuclear war are also those who do best in school and are the most optimistic that such a catastrophe can be avoided.

In fact, both the long-range effects of growing up in the shadow of the bomb and the potential for nuclear age education to calm or amplify fears remain largely in the realm of speculation. Pending further research, claims on either side of the question do not provide a sound foundation for setting policy.

A more compelling rationale for including nuclear age issues in the K-12 curriculum is that they are too important a part of the modern world to disregard. A traditional role of the public schools is citizenship education--preparing students to take part in the vital issues facing the nation. The argument that nuclear issues are beyond the ken of the average individual is anti-democratic on its face and was rejected by Marshall Shulman, special advisor on arms control issues during the Carter administration. In his contribution to the book, The Role of the Academy in Addressing the Issues of Nuclear War, he wrote:

"...although the problem obviously has its technical aspects and it is important to call upon the best technical advice and

counsel one can get, the fundamental judgments to be made in the field by a President and members of Congress can be made by ordinary, intelligent citizens who are not weapons specialists. These are questions in which there is technical input, but where the fundamental decisions are matters of judgment and common sense. Not only is it possible for an enlightened citizenry to decide matters like this, it is in fact vitally necessary that they do so and that they not leave it to specialized experts."

Indeed, what many observers count as the two most significant victories in arms control negotiations--the atmospheric test ban treaty in the 60's and the SALT I Anti-Ballistic Missile treaty in the 70's--were adopted following public involvement (in the former case, of worldwide scope). To participate intelligently in these momentous matters, however, citizens must be well-informed; in fact they must be much better informed than today's youth, whose curriculum generally skirts the issues. For example, Mack found that 30 percent of the pupils in his sample did not know which country had used nuclear weapons in war. The average estimate by students of the time a Soviet land-based missile would take to reach the United States was three hours and 12 minutes; the best estimate is 25 minutes.

Compounding the problem of "atomic illiteracy" is the sense that there is no permission to talk about this subject--at home or at school. In Goldenring and Doctor, over half of the students said they had never talked to their parents about nuclear war. Forty-two percent thought they had received "not enough" information about the subject in school, and about the same percentage considered themselves "very" or "somewhat uninformed." "The schools I have attended do not talk enough about nuclear subjects," a student wrote on her essay. "I am literally lost in this area, and so are most of my friends."

It must be conceded that the public schools run the risk of politicizing the institution by taking up such a controversial subject.

How to treat nuclear education is arguably a highly significant ethical issue. It is a subject about which reasonable people can and do passionately disagree, a subject burdened with the most complex moral dilemmas and paradoxes. For example, it was Albert Einstein, an ardent pacifist, who wrote President Franklin D. Roosevelt urging that the possible use of atomic energy in bombs be investigated, a letter that helped bring about the Manhattan Project.

The goal of nuclear age education should be to encourage students to enter into the discussion, to expose themselves to various points of view on this important issue, and to sharpen their critical thinking skills so that they can identify the weaknesses and strengths of the various arguments. If the destructive force of the atomic bomb was latent in the laws of physics--which are beyond anyone's power to change--the decision whether to use it remains a human one. That, in itself, offers some small reason for hope and--in a democracy--is the ultimate argument in favor of nuclear age education.

APPENDIX A

ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 3848

Chapter 1590

An act to add Article 6.5 (commencing with Section 51755) to Chapter 5 of Part 28 of the Education Code, relating to education.

(Approved By Governor September 29, 1984.
Filed with Secretary of State September 30, 1984.)

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 3848, Vasconcellos. Education: nuclear age education curriculum.

Existing law requires certain courses of instruction, and authorizes certain other courses of instruction, to be taught in the public schools.

This bill would require the State Department of Education to collect information on current efforts of school districts to provide nuclear age education, and other information relevant to the development of a model nuclear age education curriculum and to report its findings and recommendations to the Legislature by June 30, 1985.

THE PEOPLE OF THAT STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Article 6.5 (commencing with Section 51755) is added to Chapter 5 of Part 28 of the Education Code, to read:

Article 6.5. Nuclear Age Education Curriculum

51755. The Legislature finds and declares as follows:

(a) The discovery of nuclear power has profound implications for our lives and society.

(b) Current research has demonstrated that many of our children today fear the prospect of nuclear war, and it is now recognized that their frequent lack of motivation, particularly in school, is substantially influenced by further fears that there will be no future for themselves, their families, their communities, and their nation.

(c) Some polls have shown that the majority of high school students believe that nuclear war in the next decade is inevitable.

(d) If left unchecked, this lack of motivation and the feelings of helplessness could prove to be as devastating to American society as war itself.

(e) It is the inherent right of our children to pursue their educational objectives free from the immobilizing threat of nuclear war and their own annihilation.

51756. The Legislature further finds and declares as follows:

(a) Through their curricula, our public schools traditionally provide our children with an understanding and appreciation of personal values and a sense of human community.

(b) It is the responsibility of our public educational system, in conjunction with parents to intellectually and emotionally prepare our students to understand and cope with reality, including the facts of life in the nuclear age, the threat of nuclear war and annihilation, and the dangers of radiation.

(c) Our public schools provide an appropriate forum for discussion of the personal, environmental, social, political, and military aspects of life in the nuclear age. These discussions make a valuable contribution to students' development into informed, self-assured, responsible, decision-making adults.

(d) It is also the responsibility of our public educational system to provide students with an understanding of the causes of conflict among nations and the options for conflict resolution and the attainment of peace.

(e) Many parents, acting out of concern for their children's current and future welfare, are already seeking formal and informal assistance in providing their children with the tools for coping with life in the nuclear age.

(f) Organizations in many states, including California, are already collecting information on nuclear age education curricula designed to meet the needs of students for accurate information on international relations, nuclear war, the causes of war, and available options, including conflict resolution, in the nuclear age, as well as beneficial peacetime uses of nuclear power.

(g) The State Department of Education is best suited to the task of collecting information from various research and educational groups across the country, to make objective assessments of the value of specific components of a curriculum, and to develop a model nuclear age education curriculum.

51757. (a) The State Department of Education shall conduct a survey to review current efforts of school districts to provide nuclear age education. The survey shall include, but not be limited to, all of the following information:

(1) Students' beliefs, attitudes, and feelings regarding life in the nuclear age.

(2) The needs, if any, of students with respect to nuclear age education. Special attention shall be given to methods which students may use to creatively cope with their fears concerning nuclear war.

(3) Each school district's perception of the value of a model nuclear age education curriculum.

(4) The current efforts of each school district to develop and present a nuclear age education curriculum.

(5) Model nuclear age education programs already operating in California.

(b) The department shall also collect other information relevant to the development of a model nuclear age education curriculum, including, but not limited to, studies, experiences, research, and reports of educational organizations, scientific groups, and psychologists regarding the effects of the nuclear age upon children.

(c) The department shall also seek information from concerned parents, teachers, students, and members of the community regarding whether and how a nuclear age educational curriculum should be developed, and shall ensure that all points of view are presented.

(d) On or before June 30, 1985, the department shall submit to the Legislature its findings and recommendations with respect to all of the following:

(1) Whether the Legislature should proceed to develop a program to make nuclear age education more widely available in the public schools.

(2) If the recommendation made pursuant to paragraph (1) is positive, the best method for achieving that result.

APPENDIX B

Please respond by

April 30, 1985 to:

History-Social Science Unit
721 Capitol Mall
Sacramento, CA 95814

If there are any questions
about this survey, please
contact:

Diane Brooks, Manager
Jerry Cummings or
Tomas Roybal
(916) 322-3064

NUCLEAR AGE EDUCATION SURVEY TO COUNTIES/DISTRICTS

Assembly Bill 3848; Chapter 1590, 1984

County/District _____

Name and position of respondent _____

The California State Department of Education requests assistance from
representatives of county offices and school districts to collect
information as mandated by Assembly Bill 3848, Chapter 1590, 1984 on:

1. Students' beliefs, attitudes and feelings about life in the nuclear age;
2. Needs and methods available which students may use to creatively cope with their concerns about nuclear war;
3. How parents, teachers, students, and members of the community feel regarding whether and how a Nuclear Age Education curriculum should be developed; and
4. Materials that are available and relevant for the development of a Nuclear Age Education Curriculum.

DEFINITION For the purpose of this survey, Nuclear Age Education curriculum is one which includes topics such as international relations; preparing students to understand the threat of nuclear war and its effects; coping with the reality of life in the nuclear age; studying the causes of war and available options, including conflict resolution; and the beneficial peacetime uses of nuclear power.

.....

IF YOUR COUNTY/DISTRICT OFFERS A NUCLEAR AGE EDUCATION PROGRAM, BEGIN WITH ITEM NUMBER 1. IF NOT, BEGIN WITH NUMBER 7.

1. Is there School Board policy regarding instruction in Nuclear Age Education?
Yes () No ()
2. Please check grade levels at which Nuclear Age Education is offered:
K-3....()
4-6....()
7-8....()
9-12....()
3. In what subject areas is Nuclear Age Education offered?
History-Social Science.....()
Science.....()
English/Language Arts.....()
Health.....()
Other (Specify) _____

Is the curriculum locally developed? Yes () No ()

If not, what is the source? _____

Total instructional minutes per week on Nuclear Age Education: _____

4. Who has made inquiries about the need to offer Nuclear Age Education?
Please write the number of those inquiring:

Students.....()
Teachers.....()
Parents.....()
Community Members.....()
Special Interest Groups.()
(Specify)_____

5. Check below if in-service training in Nuclear Age Education is provided by:

District()
County.....()
Organizations()

Please identify the provider:_____

6. Do you have instructional materials to support your Nuclear Age Education curriculum? Yes No
() ()

If yes, identify the type and source._____

7. Should there be planned curriculum and instruction on Nuclear Age Education in the public schools? Yes No
() ()

If yes, check the appropriate grade levels:

K-3.....()
4-6.....()
7-8.....()
9-12.....()

8. Should public schools teach Nuclear Age Education topics? Yes No
() ()

If yes, check appropriate topics:

Discovery and development of nuclear power.....()
Beneficial peacetime uses of nuclear energy.....()
Nuclear medicine.....()
Personal and social aspects of life in the nuclear age....()
Environmental aspects of life in the nuclear age.....()
Political and military aspects of life in the nuclear age.()
International relations.....()
Causes of conflict among nations and war.....()
Options for conflict resolution.....()
Options for attainment of peace.....()
Nuclear arms race.....()
Nuclear arms control.....()
Radiation.....()
Nuclear war and its effects.....()
Coping with fears concerning nuclear war.....()
Others (list)_____

9. Is there a need for in-service training for teachers about the topics of Nuclear Age Education? Yes No
() ()

10. Should the State Legislature....

- a. Proceed to develop a program to make Nuclear Age Education more widely available in the public schools? Yes No
() ()
- b. Mandate that the State Department of Education develop a model Nuclear Age Education curriculum? Yes No
() ()

PLEASE RESPOND BRIEFLY TO THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS BASED ON INFORMATION YOU HAVE RECEIVED FROM TEACHERS, PARENTS, AND MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY.

1. What are the various points of view of parents, teachers, and members of the community regarding whether and how a Nuclear Age Education curriculum should be developed?
2. If there are objections to or problems with any Nuclear Age Education curriculum you are using, please describe:
3. Please indicate how the answers to questions on this survey were obtained. (For example: Through interviews, surveys, research, questionnaire, etc.)
4. Additional comments.

APPENDIX C

Please respond by
April 30, 1985 to:
History-Social Science Unit
721 Capitol Mall
Sacramento, CA 95814

If there are any questions
about this survey, please
contact:
Diane Brooks, Manager
Jerry Cummings or
Tomas Roybal
(916) 322-3064

NUCLEAR AGE EDUCATION SURVEY TO ORGANIZATIONS

Assembly Bill 3848; Chapter 1590, 1984

Name of Organization _____
Name and title of respondent _____
Address _____
Telephone(____) _____

The California State Department of Education requests assistance from
representatives of organizations to collect information as mandated by
Assembly Bill 3848, Chapter 1590, 1984 on:

1. Students' beliefs, attitudes and feelings about life in the nuclear age;
2. Needs and methods available which students may use to creatively cope with their concerns about nuclear war;
3. How parents, teachers, students, and members of the community feel regarding whether and how a Nuclear Age Education curriculum should be developed; and
4. Materials that are available and relevant for the development of a Nuclear Age Education Curriculum.

DEFINITION For the purpose of this survey, Nuclear Age Education curriculum is one which includes topics such as international relations; preparing students to understand the threat of nuclear war and its effects; coping with the reality of life in the nuclear age; studying the causes of war and available options, including conflict resolution; and the beneficial peacetime uses of nuclear power.

.....

1. If your organization provides information, educational materials, or services on nuclear age education please check the following:
 - a. Nuclear Age Education curriculum materials are available for the following grade levels:

K-3.....()
4-6.....()
7-8.....()
9-12.....()
 - b. Nuclear Age Education units of instruction are provided as part of the following subject areas:

History-Social Science.....()
Science.....()
English/Language Arts.....()
Health.....()
Other (Specify)_____

- c. Nuclear Age Education instructional materials are available in the following formats:

SOURCE	EXTENSIVE	MINIMAL
Printed.....	()	()
Audio-Visuals.....	()	()
Computer program.....	()	()
Other (Specify)_____		

- d. Personnel and materials resources for staff training are available for:

Teachers.....()
Curriculum Specialists.....()
Administrators.....()

2. If your organization has received information or conducted surveys among students, teachers, parents or community members pertaining to the needs or topics of Nuclear Age Education please write the number of those responding:

Students.....()
Teachers.....()
Parents.....()
Community members.....()
Other (specify)_____

3. Should there be planned curriculum and instruction on Nuclear Age Education in public schools?.....() ()
If yes:

- a. Check the appropriate grade levels: K-3.....()
4-6.....()
7-8.....()
9-12.....()

- b. Check the topics that should be included:

Discovery and development of nuclear power.....()
Beneficial peacetime uses of nuclear energy.....()
Nuclear medicine.....()
Personal and social aspects of life in the nuclear age.....()
Environmental aspects of life in the nuclear age.....()
Political and military aspects of life in the nuclear age.....()
International relations.....()
Causes of conflict among nations and war.....()
Options for conflict resolution.....()
Options for attainment of peace.....()
Nuclear arms race.....()
Nuclear arms control.....()
Radiation.....()
Nuclear war and its effects.....()
Coping with fears concerning nuclear war.....()
Others (list)_____

4. Should the California State Legislature...

- a. Proceed to develop a program to make Nuclear Age Education more widely available in the public school? () ()
b. Mandate that the State Department of Education develop a model Nuclear Age Education curriculum? () ()

5. PLEASE RESPOND BRIEFLY TO THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS AND INDICATE HOW THE INFORMATION WAS OBTAINED:

a. From information you already have, what are the needs, if any, of students with respect to Nuclear Age Education, and what methods can assist them to cope with any fears or concerns regarding nuclear disaster?

b. Should Nuclear Age Education curriculum be developed, and if so, how?

c. Are there any objections to or problems with any Nuclear Age Education curriculum you have been using? If yes, please describe.

d. Please indicate how the answers to questions a-b were obtained (for example: surveys, interviews, informal contacts, questionnaires, research, etc.)

e. Additional comments, including the point of view of your organization, about Nuclear Age Education. (Use back of page as needed.)

APPENDIX D

STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE AB 3848

SDE use only	

You are asked to participate in a survey required by the California Legislature to collect information about students' beliefs, attitudes and feelings regarding life in the nuclear age. This is an important request. Your answers will not be graded and do not put your name on the paper.

Thank you very much.

Circle your grade level: 6 11

Circle your gender: boy girl

WOULD YOU PLEASE GIVE THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS YOUR VERY BEST THOUGHTS.

A List any ideas or images that come to you when you hear the word "nuclear."

1. _____
2. _____
3. _____
4. _____
5. _____
6. _____
7. _____
8. _____
9. _____
10. _____
11. _____
12. _____
13. _____
14. _____
15. _____
16. _____
17. _____
18. _____
19. _____
20. _____

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or other markings on the paper.

Letter Requesting Parental Permission to Participate in Student Questionnaire

APPENDIX E



CALIFORNIA STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

721 Capitol Mall

Sacramento, CA 95814-4785

Bill Honig

Superintendent

of Public Instruction

September 24, 1985

Dear Parent:

The State Legislature, through Assembly Bill 3848, Chapter 1590, has required the State Department of Education to collect information on current efforts of school districts to provide nuclear age education and the needs, if any, of students with respect to nuclear age education.

Your child's class has been requested to participate in a student survey for the purpose of obtaining information about students' beliefs, attitudes and feelings regarding life in the nuclear age. The survey consists of two essay questions on the topic which can be answered during one class period.

Section 60650 of the Education Code states that the parent or guardian of the pupil must be notified in writing when a survey requesting information on personal beliefs is to be administered. Written permission to participate must be obtained. Please respond to the bottom portion of this letter and return the form to your child's teacher.

Thank you very much for this consideration which will permit the Department to respond to the Legislative mandate.

Sincerely,


James R. Smith, Deputy Superintendent
for Curriculum and Instructional
Leadership Branch

CHECK ONE

- ☐ Permission is given for _____ to respond to the student survey regarding life in the nuclear age, Assembly Bill 3848, Chapter 1590.
- ☐ Please have my child participate in a different activity during the time the class is responding to the nuclear age survey.

Signed: _____ Date: _____

APPENDIX F

Bibliography and Listing of Related Materials

1. BOOKS

- Aquino-Mackles, Alexis, David C. King, and Margaret S. Branson. Myself and Others. Global Perspectives in Education, 218 E. 18th Street, New York, NY 10003, 1979.
- Craig, Paul P., and J.A. Jungerman. Nuclear Arms Race: Technology and Society. McGraw-Hill Press, Spring 1985.
- Escalona, Sibylle. Children and the Threat of Nuclear War. Child Study Association of America. 1962.
- Glossop, Ronald J. Confronting War: An Examination of Humanity's Most Pressing Problem. McFarland & Company, Inc., Publishers, Box 611, Jefferson, NC 28640. 1983.
- Harris, Jonathan. Hiroshima: A Study in Science, Politics and the Ethics of War. Teacher and Student Manuals. Amherst College, MA. 1965. (ERIC No. ED 032335 24 TE499933)
- Hiroshima A-Bombed Teachers Association. Let's Cry for Peace. (64 page booklet) Peace Resource Center, Box 1183, Wilmington College, Wilmington, OH 45177.
- Kaplan, David E. Nuclear California: an Investigative Report. Greenpeace/Center for Investigative Reporting, San Francisco, CA. 1982.
- McKay, Alwyn. The Making of the Atomic Age. Oxford University Press, New York, NY. 144 pp. 1985
- Myers, Teena. Understanding Nuclear Weapons and Arms Control. Arms Control Research. 1983. Published by Education in World Issues, Box 1355, Arlington, VA 22210.
- Nagasaki Prefecture Hibakusha Teacher's Association. In the Sky Over Nagasaki: An A-Bomb Reader for Children. 105 pp. Peace Resource Center, Box 1183, Wilmington College, Wilmington, OH 45177.
- Shapiro, Fred C. A Reporter's Investigation of a Growing Nuclear Menace. Random House, New York, NY. 1981.
- Sivard, Ruth Leger. World Military and Social Expenditures. World Priorities, Box 1003, Leebury, VA, 1982.
- Switzer, Kenneth A., and Paul T. Mulloy. Global Issues: Activities and Resources for the High School Teacher. Social Science Education Consortium, Inc., 855 Broadway, Boulder, CO 80302, 1979.

11. CLINICAL STUDIES AND SURVEYS

- American Civil Defense Association. "Views of Industry, Technology, Emergency Government and Concerned Citizen." Journal of Civil Defense. October 1985, vol. 18, no. 5.
- American Psychiatric Association. Psychosocial Aspects of Nuclear Developments, Task Force Report 20. American Psychiatric Association, 1700 18th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20008, 1982.
- Beardslee, W., and J.E. Mack. "The Impact of Nuclear Developments on Children and Adolescents." Psychological Aspects of Nuclear Developments, Task Force Report 20. American Psychiatric Association, Washington, D.C. 1982.
- Beardslee, W.R., and J.E. Mack. "Adolescents and the Threat of Nuclear War: the Evolution of a Perspective." Yale Journal of Biology and Medicine, vol. 56, pp. 79-91.
- Carey, M. "Psychological Fallout." Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists. 1982. vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 20-24.
- Carnegie Corporation of New York. "Reducing the Risk of Nuclear War: What Can Schools Do?" Carnegie Quarterly, Spring 1980, vol. 30, no. 2.
- Education Leadership. "Reshaping the Teaching Profession, Contemporary and Controversial Issues." December 1985/January 1986.
- Escalona, S.E. "Growing Up with the Threat of Nuclear War: Some Indirect Effects on Personality Development." American Journal of Orthopsychiatry. 1982, vol. 54 no. 4, pp. 600-607.
- Escalona, Sibylle. "Children's Responses to the Nuclear War Threat." Children. 1963, vol. 10 no. 4, pp. 137-142.
- Frank, J.D. "Psychological Determinants of the Nuclear Arms Race." Directions in Psychiatry. 1981, vol. 28, pp. 38-45.
- Goldenring, J.M. and R.M. Doctor. "Adolescents' Concerns about the Threat of Nuclear War." Statement made to the Select Committee on Children, Youth and Families, U.S. 98th Congress, First Session, House of Representatives (Washington, D.C., CPO, 1984), pp. 61-66.
- Goodman, L., J.E. Mack, W.R. Beardslee, and R.M. Snow. "The Threat of Nuclear War and the Nuclear Arms Race. Adolescent Experience and Perception." Political Psychology. 1983, vol. 4, pp. 501-530.
- Lifton, R.J. "Beyond Psychic Numbing: A Call to Awareness." American Journal of Orthopsychiatry. 1982, vol. 52 no. 4, pp. 619-629.

- Mack, J. "Psychosocial Effects of the Nuclear Arms Race." The Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists. 1981, vol. 37 no. 4, pp. 18-23.
- Mack, J.E. "Research on the Impact of the Nuclear Arms Race on Children in the U.S.A." Report 2, 1984 (IPP NW) pp. 7-9. Published by International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War.
- Psychology Today. "Nuclear Fear: Growing Up Scared...of Not Growing Up." Vol. 18 no. 1, April 1984.
- Rogers, C. "A Psychologist Looks at Nuclear War." Journal of Humanistic Psychology. 1982, vol. 22 no. 8, pp. 8-20.
- Schwebel, M. "Effects of the Nuclear War Threat on Children and Teenagers: Implications for Professionals." American Journal of Orthopsychiatry. 1982, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 608-618.
- Shulman, Marshall. "The Process of Governmental Policy-Making in this Area," in The Role of the Academy in Addressing the Issues of Nuclear War. Ed. Harmon Dunathon (Geneva, NY: Hobart and William Smith Colleges, 1982), p. 39.
- Sommer, Mark. "Notes on Listening." Humpty Dumpty Report. Interhelp, P.O. Box 331, Northampton, MA 01061. 1984, Issue no. 8 (Spring), pp. 23-24.
- Teachers College. "Education for Peace and Disarmament: Toward a Living World." Record, Columbia University. Fall 1982, vol. 84 no. 1.
- Thurlow, S. "Nuclear War in Human Perspective: A Survivor's Report." American Journal of Orthopsychiatry. 1982, vol. 52 no. 4, pp. 638-645.
- Turco, R.P., O.B. Toon, T.P. Ackerman, J.B. Pollack, and C. Sagan. "Nuclear Winter: Global Consequences of Multiple Nuclear Explosions." Science. 1983, vol. 222, pp. 1283-1292.
- Verdon-Roe, V. "Growing Up in the Nuclear Age: What Children Can Tell Us." East West Journal. January 1983, pp. 24-31.
- Voth, Harold M. "The Psychological Effect of Nuclear War Courses." Journal of Civil Defense. 1985, vol. 28 no. 4, pp. 7-9.
- Yudkin, Marcia. "When Kids Think the Unthinkable." Psychology Today. Vol. 18 no. 4, April 1984, pp. 18-25.
- Zweigenhaft, Richard L. "Students Surveyed about Nuclear War." Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists. February 1985, pp. 20-21.

III. PERIODICALS

- Bachman, J.G. "Monitoring of Future Projects - How American High School Senior's View the Military." Armed Forces and Society. 1983, vol. 10, pp. 86-104.
- Broder, David S. "Forty Years of Averting the Catastrophe." The Sacramento Bee. August 1, 1985.
- Butterfield, Fox. "Experts Disagree on Children's Worries about Nuclear War." The New York Times. October 16, 1984, p. A-16.
- Chavez, Linda. "Teaching about Nuclear War." American Educator: The Professional Journal of the American Federation of Teachers. 1983, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 16-21. (ERIC no. EJ 286185 UD510128)
- Coles, Robert. "The Doomsayers Class Politics and the Nuclear Freeze: The Numbing Polemics of Dr. Caldcott." Boston Observer. 1984, no. 10-10.
- Goodman, Ellen. "Hiroshima: A Legacy of Fear." The Sacramento Bee. July 2, 1985.
- Gordon, Michael R. "Tracing the Nuclear Nervous System" The New York Times Book Review. July 14, 1985, p. 9.
- Glines, Bill. "Nuclear Issues in Gilroy." San Jose Mercury News. June 26, 1985. pp. 1B-2B.
- Isenbud, Van Hoorn, Gould. "Children, Adolescents, and the Threat of Nuclear War." Advances in International Maternal and Child Health. Vol. 6, 1986.
- Journal of Civil Defense. The American Civil Defense Association, P.O. Box 1057, Starke, FL. 1985, vol, 18 no. 4.
- Lamm, Richard D. "Peace Solo." The Christian Science Monitor. April 23, 1985, pp. 18-19.
- Los Angeles Times. "Nuclear Age Curriculum." Letters to the Times. March 6, 1985, p. 4.
- Marquard, Robert, et al. "Teaching for Peace." The Christian Science Monitor. January 31, 1986, pp. B1-B12.
- Nitgang, Lee. "Do Students' Atomic Anxieties Warrant 'Peace Studies'?" The Sacramento Bee. January 28, 1985.
- Perlman, David. "Physicist's Formula for Survival in the Nuclear World." San Francisco Chronicle. April 5, 1985, p. 14.
- Pond, Elizabeth. "Arms Talks Likely to Be More Public Relations Than Substance." The Christian Science Monitor. May 30, 1985, p. 9.

- Roland, Alex. "How Sputnik Changed U.S." The New York Times Book Review. April 7, 1985, pp. 1-16.
- Royko, Mike. "Virtue: in Eye of Beholder." The Sacramento Bee. May 15, 1985.
- Social Studies Professional, The, a newsletter from the National Council for the Social Studies. "Meeting Probes and Teaching about Nuclear Weapons." No. 74, November 1984, pp. 1-6.
- Solantaus, Kimpela, and Taipole. "The Threat of War in the Minds of 12-18 Year Olds in Finland", Lancet. 1984, no. 8380, pp. 784-785.
- Unger, Arthur. "ABC Documentary Takes Sobering In-Depth Look at the Unleashed Power of the Atom." The Christian Science Monitor. June 3, 1985, pp. 33-35.
- U.C. Santa Barbara Newsletter. "Model Curriculum on Nuclear Conflict Being Developed." 1983, vol. 29 no. 4 (December).
- U.S. News and World Report. "Today's Issues/Tomorrow's America." Education Division, April 1983, p. 3.

IV. CURRICULUM GUIDES AND COURSES AND OTHER RESOURCES

- Alameda County Nuclear Weapons Freeze Campaign, Education Committee. What to Tell the Children. 4042 Broadway, Oakland, CA. 1982.
- American Civil Defense Association, Southern California Chapter. Topics on Nuclear Weapons Effects and Civilian Protection. 12077 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 648, Los Angeles, CA. 1985.
- American Nuclear Society. "Earth" Booklets and Other Inserts. 55 North Kensington Avenue, La Grange Park, IL.
- American Nuclear Society. Energy from the Atom. 55 North Kensington Avenue, La Grange Park, IL.
- Arms Control Association. Arms Control and National Security: An Introduction. 11 Dupont Circle N.W., Washington, D.C. 1983.
- Bressler, Marion A., and Leo A. Bressler. Peace or War. American Problems Series, ed. J.R. Fraenkel. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J. 1977, 120 pp.
- Center for Citizenship Education. National Security in the Nuclear Age. 11 Dupont Circle N.W., Washington, D.C. 1985.

- Center for Defense Information. The Defense Monitor. 303 Capitol Gallery West, 600 Maryland Avenue S.W., Washington, D.C.
- Center for Human Development. Something More Than Survival: A Student-Initiated Process for School Climate Improvement. Walnut Creek, CA. 1978.
- Citizens Policy Center. What Works: A Manual for Student Involvement. 1400 Broadway, Suite 603, Oakland, CA. 1984.
- Coast Community Colleges. Quest for Peace: Nuclear Issues. 11460 Warner Avenue, Fountain Valley, CA 92708-2597.
- Consortium for International Studies Education. United States National Security Issues. 223 Derby Hall, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210. 1978, 124 pp.
- Creative Initiative. Beyond War: A New Way of Thinking. 222 High Street, Palo Alto, CA 1984, 57 pp.
- Educational Film and Video Project. What to Tell the Children Packets. 1725-B Seabright Avenue, Santa Cruz, CA. 1984.
- Educators for Social Responsibility (ESR). Decision Making in a Nuclear Age (7-12). 1983, 376 pp.
- ESR. Dialogue: A Teaching Guide to Nuclear Issues (K-12). September 1983, 266 pp.
- ESR. Educational Materials (Annotated Bibliography): Educating for New Ways of Thinking in the Nuclear Age.
- ESR. Perspectives: A K-12 Teaching Guide to Concepts of Peace. 23 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138. September 1983, 402 pp.
- Emergency Management Instruction: Instructional Materials Pilot Test. State of California, Office of Environmental/Energy Education Report submitted to the Federal Emergency Management Agency. 1982.
- Foreign Policy Association. Catalogue of Publications. Foreign Policy Association, 205 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY 10016. 1985.
- Global Education Center (GEC). The Global Classroom: An Annotated Bibliography for Elementary and Secondary Teachers. University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN.
- Hawkins, Brendan, and William Phelps. "A Course in Nuclear Radiation for All High School Students." Physics Teacher. May 1975, vol. 13 no. 5, pp. 297-98. (ERIC no. EJ 121508 SE513860)

- History-Social Science Framework for California Public Schools, Kindergarten Through Grade Twelve. California State Department of Education, Sacramento, 1981.
- Infopsych Communications Network. Living in the Nuclear Age. 542 Parliament Street, Toronto, Canada. Vol. 2. 1984.
- Institute on Global Conflict and Cooperation. Critical Thinking in a Nuclear Age. Graduate School of Education, University of Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA. February 1985.
- International Security and Arms Control Project (ISAAC). Lou Henry Hoover Building, Room 200, Stanford University, Stanford, CA.
- International Student Pugwash Symposium. "Instituting Nuclear Age Education: Towards a Cooperative Future." Proceedings of the International Student Pugwash Symposium, Atlanta, GA, January 20-23, 1983. Summary International Student Pugwash, Washington, D.C. 1983, 22 pp. (ERIC no. ED 232883 SE042780)
- Jobs with Peace. Crossroads (1983): Quality of Life in a Nuclear World. 77 Summer Street, Boston, MA 02110. 1983.
- Jordan, Kenneth and Dan Thessing. "Nuclear Energy: Instructional Materials." University of Central Arkansas, Conway, AR. 1980, 39 pp. (ERIC no. ED 203086 CE029145)
- Meier, Paulette, and Beth McPherson. "Growing Up in a Nuclear World: A Resource Guide for Elementary School Teachers." Nuclear Information and Resource Service (NIRS), Fourth Floor, 1346 Connecticut Avenue N.W., Washington, D.C. 1983, 31 pp. (ERIC no. ED 225876 SE040378)
- Metcalf, Reardon, Colby. The Cold War and Beyond from Deterrence to Detente--to What? (Level: high school/college.) Institute for World Order, Inc. Random House.
- Miller, George, and Theodore Roszak. A Course on the Nuclear Arms Race: Nuclear Vision. (Level: college.) California State University, Hayward, CA. Fall 1984, 157 pp.
- Minnesota State Department of Education. Teaching and Learning about Security and Conflict in a Nuclear Age: A New Concern for Education. St. Paul, MN.
- Model Curriculum Standards, Grades Nine Through Twelve. California State Department of Education, Sacramento, CA 1985.
- NEA Professional Library. Choices: A Unit on Conflict and War (a two-to-four week junior high teaching unit put together by the Union of Concerned Scientists, Massachusetts Teachers Association and the National Education Association, P.O. Box 509, West Haven CT 06516). 1983, 144 pp.

- National Conference of Catholic Bishops. The Challenge of Peace: God's Promise and Our Response. United States Catholic Conference, 1312 Massachusetts Avenue N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005. January 1984.
- Nesbitt, William A., ed. Human Nature and War. (Level: high school.) Center for International Programs and Comparative Studies, University of the State of New York Press, Albany, NY 12210. 1973, 63 pp.
- Nesbitt, Abramowitz, Bloomstein. Teaching Youth About Conflict and War. (Level: junior high school/high school.) National Council for the Social Studies, Washington, D.C. 1973, 101 pp.
- Nuclear Age Education: A Report to the California State Department of Education. National Security in the Nuclear Age: Center for Citizenship Education. 11 Dupont Circle N.W., Washington, D.C.
- Nuclear Age Peace Foundation. Waging Peace Series. 1187 Coast Village Road, Suite 123, Santa Barbara, CA. 1985.
- The Nuclear Almanac. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., Menlo Park, CA. February 1984, 546 pp.
- Nuclear Ecology Research Project. US/USSR Student Opinion Survey. 1200 Keith Avenue, Berkeley, CA. 1984.
- Parenting in the Nuclear Age. What to Tell the Children. Oakland, CA. 1983.
- Peace Education Project (PEP). Peace Education Bibliography and Library. P.O. Box 559, Felton, CA. 1984.
- Pennsylvania State Department of Education. The Environmental Impact of Electrical Power Generation: Nuclear and Fossil. Harrisburg, PA. 1975.
- Pennsylvania State Department of Education, Bureau of Curriculum Services. Nuclear Science. Harrisburg, PA. 1977, 125 pp.. (ERIC no. ED 168806 SE025283)
- Raising Expectations: Model Graduation Requirements. California State Department of Education, Sacramento, CA 1983.
- Readon, Colby. War Criminals, War Victims. (Level: high school/college.) Crises in World Order Series, ed. Metcalf. Institute for World Order, Inc. Random House. 1974, 55 pp.
- Ringler, Dick. Nuclear War. A teaching guide. Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists, 5801 S. Kenwood, Chicago, IL 60637. A basic guide for college and university teachers. December 1984, 32 pp.
- Ringler, Dick. "Nuclear War Education: Teaching the Most Important Subject." Academe. Nov.-Dec. 1983, vol. 69, no. 6, pp. 11-15. (ERIC no. EJ 291837 HE517648)

- San Diego Project. Creating the Caring, Capable Kid. 4967 Alfred Court, San Diego, CA 92120. 1985.
- Smoke, Richard, Research Director, Center for Foreign Policy Development, Brown University. The Psychological Impact of the Nuclear Threat on Children. San Francisco, CA. December 8, 1984.
- Snow, Roberta, and Lisa Goodman. Decision Making in a Nuclear Age. Available through Educators for Social Responsibility, 23 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA. March 1984.
- Stanford Program on International and Cross-Cultural Education (SPICE)
Lou Henry Hoover Building, Room 200, Stanford University, Stanford, CA.
- Teaching Global Issues through Simulation: It Can Be Easy. (Level: adult.) Intercom, Issue no. 75. Center for War/Peace Studies. 1974, 33 pp.
- Trout, Thomas, James Harf, and William Kincade. Conference Report: National Security in the Nuclear Age. Arms Control Association, Consortium for International Studies Education, Washington, D.C. June 26-July 1, 1983.
- Tytti, Solantus, M.D., Finnish Academy of Sciences Conference, Helsinki. The Psychological Impact of the Nuclear Threat on Children. San Francisco, CA. December 8, 1984.
- United Campuses to Prevent Nuclear War (UCAM). Summary of a Course on Nuclear War. 1346 Connecticut Avenue, Suite 1101, Washington, D.C.
- Van Hoorn and French. Perception and Reaction to the Threat of Nuclear War: A Lifespan Prescription. Presented at the University of California symposium of the psychological threat of the effects of nuclear war on children. December, 1984.
- War Without Winners: A Discussion Guide. Center of Defense Information, 303 Capitol Gallery West, 600 Maryland Avenue S.W., Washington, D.C. 1983.
- Wein, Barbara J. A Curriculum Guide: Peace and World Order Studies. World Policy Institute, 777 United Nations Plaza, New York, NY 10017. 1984, 741 pp.
- What's What at the National Atomic Museum. Albuquerque, NM.
- Wilmington College Peace Resource Center. Catalog. Pyle Center, Box 1183, Wilmington, OH. April 1985, 19 pp.
- Zola, John, and Remy Sleck. Teaching about Conflict, Nuclear War and the Future (contains grade levels 5-12 and 7-12). Center for Teaching International Relations, University of Denver, CO 80208. 1984, 219 pp.

END

U.S. DEPT. OF EDUCATION

**OFFICE OF EDUCATIONAL
RESEARCH AND
IMPROVEMENT (OERI)**

ERIC[®]

DATE FILMED

MAR_20_1987